

### REMARKS

The application was indicated to be in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters:

1. FIG. 1 was objected to for the reason that it did not include a legend --Prior Art--. A corrected FIG. 1 is submitted herewith with the legend --Prior Art-- as requested.

2. The disclosure was objected to for the reason that the description at page 10, lines 27-28 and line 32 (referring to FIG. 6) referred to "router devices 70-72" and neither router device 71 nor router device 72 can be found in FIG. 6. It is noted, the router devices in the originally submitted version of FIG. 6 were erroneously identified by reference numerals 70, "171" and "7." However, the router devices should be identified by reference numerals 70, 71 and 72 to correspond to the written description. A corrected FIG. 6 is submitted herewith changing reference numeral "171" to --71-- and changing reference numeral "7" to --72--. Corrected FIG. 6 is in conformity with the written description and hence the objection to the description has been overcome.

In view of the corrected drawings and remarks, favorable reconsideration of this application and a notice of allowance of claims 1-21 is respectfully requested. The Commissioner is authorized to charge any additional fees that may be required, or credit any overpayment, to Lucent Technologies Deposit Account No. 12-2325.

Respectfully submitted,

By: Steven R. Santema  
Steven R. Santema

Attorney for Applicants  
Registration No. 40,156  
Phone: (630) 979-7006

Date: 4/27/05

Send all correspondence to:  
Docket Coordinator  
Lucent Technologies  
600 Mountain Avenue (3C-512)  
P. O. Box 636  
Murray Hill, NJ 07974-0636